

REMARKS

The Official Action of January 8, 2009, has been carefully reviewed. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks. The claims have been amended to be directed to the elected invention and to be directed to specific embodiments of the present invention. Claims 22-37 have been canceled without prejudice and rewritten for presentation as new Claims 38-56 for convenience in entering this amendment. Support for this amendment is found in the Specification e.g. page 2, line 15 to page 13, line 14, and the claims of the application as filed.

1. The claims have been amended to be directed to the elected invention of Group II with respect to $k=0$ and $j=1$.

Claims 38-56 are pending in the application.

2. Claims 23-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness. The Examiner was concerned regarding the term "preferably" in Claim 23. Although Applicants respectfully assert that the specification fully enables such claims, in the interest of compact prosecution, such term has been deleted. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 23-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness has been overcome.

3. Claims 34-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement. Although Applicants respectfully assert that the specification fully enables such claim, in the interest of compact prosecution, such claims have been canceled without prejudice. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 34-37 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement has been rendered moot.

Serial No.: 10/589,832
Case No.: BY0037P
Page: 15

Applicants respectfully contend that the application is allowable and a favorable response from the Examiner is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By /J. Eric Thies, Reg.# 35382/
J. Eric Thies
Reg. No. 35,382
Attorney for Applicant

MERCK & CO., Inc.
P.O. Box 2000
Rahway, New Jersey 07065-0907
(732) 594-3904

Date: April 8, 2009